U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 451 Seventh Street, SW Washington, DC 20410 www.hud.gov espanol.hud.gov

Environmental Assessment Determinations and Compliance Findings for HUD-assisted Projects 24 CFR Part 58

Project Information

Project Name: Northeast-Parking-Lot

HEROS Number: 90000010380644

Start Date: 02/13/2024

Responsible Entity (RE): CITY OF GREENWOOD, 300 S. MADISON AVENUE GREENWOOD IN, 46142

RE Preparer: Alicia J Vaughn

State / Local Identifier: IN

Certifying Officer: Kevin Steinmetz

Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Ent ity):

Point of Contact:

Consultant (if applicable): City Consultants & Research, LLC

Point of Contact: Alicia Vaughn

40 CFR 1506.5(b)(4): The lead agency or, where appropriate, a cooperating agency shall prepare a disclosure statement for the contractor's execution specifying that the contractor has no financial or other interest in the outcome of the action. Such statement need not include privileged or confidential trade secrets or other confidential business information.

By checking this box, I attest that as a preparer, I have no financial or other interest in the outcome of the undertaking assessed in this environmental review.

Project Location: , Greenwood, IN 46143

Additional Location Information:

The park is located at 100 Crestview, Greenwood, IN 46143.

Direct Comments to: 300 S. Madison Avenue Greenwood, IN 46143

Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

Install a small parking lot at the northern part of Northeast Park. Parking lot to be 100% within the park boundaries. Schematics to show the exact location and amount of ground disturbance. The parking lot should prevent residents from utilizing the school parking lot on the northern side of the park.

Statement of Purpose and Need for the Proposal [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

The purpose of the project will improve access and parking for the Northeast Park. Currently residents park on the street or block parking at the neighboring school. This Parking lot will offer off-street parking at the northern end of the parking lot. As as a result of the flood hazard mitigation, the project will also assist with flooding in the area through storm water storage and management. Parking lot to be 100% within the park boundaries.

Existing Conditions and Trends [24 CFR 58.40(a)]:

Parking lot to be 100% within the park boundaries. Schematics to show the exact location. The parking lot should prevent residents from utilizing the school parking lot on the northern side of the park. The conditions of this area of the park is a grass/lawn area. The area becomes soggy and a place to gather rainwater. Residents utilizing the shelter have to enter the park from the southern edge and utilize the park path to access the northern part of the park. Currently, persons wanting to use the shelter/bathroom or the playground at the northern end of the park utilize spaces at the nearby Elementary School, causing parking congestion and issues during school days.

Maps, photographs, and other documentation of project location and description:

Determination:

Finding of No Significant Impact [24 CFR 58.40(g)(1); 40 CFR 1508.13] The
project will not result in a significant impact on the quality of human
environment
Finding of Significant Impact

Approval Documents:

FONSI-and-RROF(1).pdf

7015.15 certified by Certifying Officer 2/9/2024 on:

7015.16 certified by Authorizing Officer 3/14/2024 on:

Funding Information

Grant / Project Identification Number	HUD Program	Program Name	Funding Amount
B-22-MC-18-0023	Community Planning and Development (CPD)	Community Development Block Grants (CDBG) (Entitlement)	\$350,000.00

Estimated Total HUD Funded, \$388,000.00 **Assisted or Insured Amount:**

Estimated Total Project Cost [24 CFR 58.2 (a) \$388,000.00 (5)]:

Compliance with 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5 and §58.6 Laws and Authorities

Compliance Factors: Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5, and §58.6	Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?	Compliance determination (See Appendix A for source determinations)		
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORD	STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.6			
Airport Hazards Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D	□ Yes ☑ No	The project site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements.		

	1		
Coastal Barrier Resources Act Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 [16 USC 3501]	□ Yes		This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRS units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.
Flood Insurance Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 and National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994 [42 USC 4001- 4128 and 42 USC 5154a]	☐ Yes	☑ No	Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with Flood Insurance requirements.
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORE	DERS, ANI	O REGULATIO	NS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5
Air Quality Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93 Coastal Zone Management Act	Yes Yes		Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act. This project is not located in or does not
Coastal Zone Management Act, sections 307(c) & (d)			affect a Coastal Zone as defined in the state Coastal Management Plan. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act.
Contamination and Toxic Substances 24 CFR 50.3(i) & 58.5(i)(2)]	□ Yes		Site contamination was evaluated as follows: None of the above. On-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property were not found. The project is exempt from radon consideration. The project is in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements.
Endangered Species Act Endangered Species Act of 1973, particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part 402	□ Yes	☑ No	This project will have No Effect on listed species based on a letter of understanding, memorandum of agreement, programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD

	1			
		office. This project is in compliance with		
		the Endangered Species Act.		
Explosive and Flammable Hazards	🗆 Yes 🗹 No	Based on the project description the		
Above-Ground Tanks)[24 CFR Part		project includes no activities that would		
51 Subpart C		require further evaluation under this		
		section. The project is in compliance		
		with explosive and flammable hazard		
		requirements.		
Farmlands Protection	🗆 Yes 🗹 No	This project does not include any		
Farmland Protection Policy Act of		activities that could potentially convert		
1981, particularly sections 1504(b)		agricultural land to a non-agricultural		
and 1541; 7 CFR Part 658		use. The project is in compliance with		
,		the Farmland Protection Policy Act.		
Floodplain Management	□ Yes □ No	This project is located in the FFRMS		
Executive Order 11988, particularly		floodplain. The 8-Step or 5-Step Process		
section 2(a); 24 CFR Part 55		is required. With the 8-Step or 5-Step		
		Process the project will be in		
		compliance with Executive Orders		
		11988 and 13690.		
Historic Preservation	□ Yes ☑ No	Based on Section 106 consultation the		
National Historic Preservation Act of		project will have No Adverse Effect on		
1966, particularly sections 106 and		historic properties. Conditions: None.		
110; 36 CFR Part 800		Upon satisfactory implementation of		
		the conditions, which should be		
		monitored, the project is in compliance		
		with Section 106.		
Noise Abatement and Control	☐ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description, this		
Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities		project includes no activities that would		
		require further evaluation under HUD's		
Act of 1978; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart		noise regulation. The project is in		
В		compliance with HUD's Noise		
Sala Sauraa Aguifana		regulation.		
Sole Source Aquifers	🗆 Yes 🗹 No	The project is not located on a sole		
Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as		source aquifer area. The project is in		
amended, particularly section		compliance with Sole Source Aquifer		
1424(e); 40 CFR Part 149		requirements.		
Wetlands Protection	🗆 Yes 🗹 No	The project will not impact on- or off-		
Executive Order 11990, particularly		site wetlands. The project is in		
sections 2 and 5		compliance with Executive Order 11990.		
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	🗆 Yes 🗹 No	This project is not within proximity of a		
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968,		NWSRS river. The project is in		
particularly section 7(b) and (c)		compliance with the Wild and Scenic		
		Rivers Act.		
HUD HOUSING ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS				
ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE				

Environmental Justice	🗆 Yes 🗹 No	No adverse environmental impacts were
Executive Order 12898		identified in the project's total
		environmental review. The project is in
		compliance with Executive Order 12898.

Environmental Assessment Factors [24 CFR 58.40; Ref. 40 CFR 1508.8 &1508.27]

Impact Codes: An impact code from the following list has been used to make the determination of impact for each factor.

(1) Minor beneficial impact

(2) No impact anticipated

(3) Minor Adverse Impact – May require mitigation

(4) Significant or potentially significant impact requiring avoidance or modification which may require an Environmental Impact Statement.

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment	Code		
Factor			
		LAND DEVELOPMENT	
Conformance with	2	While the new Comprehensive	No mitigation required.
Plans / Compatible		Plan calls for avoiding large	
Land Use and		expansive of surface parking,	
Zoning / Scale and		the project will involve storm	
Urban Design		water improvements,	
		enhancement of	
		natural/Indiana based flora	
		and Fana to as part of the	
		addition of parking. The	
		stormwater storage addition	
		will benefit the neighboring	
		households in the low to	
		moderate income area with	
	2	storage of rain-water runoff.	
Conformance with	2	While the new Comprehensive	No mitigation required.
Plans / Compatible		Plan calls for avoiding large	
Land Use and		expansive of surface parking,	
Zoning / Scale and		the project will involve storm	
Urban Design		water improvements, enhancement of	
		natural/Indiana based flora	
		and Fana to as part of the	
		addition of parking. The	
		stormwater storage addition	
		will benefit the neighboring	

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
		households in the low to moderate income area with storage of rain-water runoff.	
Soil Suitability / Slope/ Erosion / Drainage and Storm Water Runoff	1	The City hired an architecture firm offered to provide survey, landscape design, civil engineering, floodplain permitting, Earthmoving and Erosion Control applications, and electrical permitting for the parking lot. The lot will be on the north side of the park, accessible from Crestview Drive, and provide 24 normal spaces and 2 ADA compliant spaces.	The City will utilize an underground stormwater detention system including an aqua swirl structure to detain all water on site and improve water quality. Key features include: * The underground chamber system will be sufficient to retain all stormwater from the new impervious structure and release water at a rate that meets all local, state, and federal standards. * The aqua swirl structure is a permanent flow-through water quality device designed to remove coarse sediment, debris and free-floating oil by utilizing hydrodynamic separation technology. It is recognized as a Best Management Practice for Stormwater quality improvement
Soil Suitability / Slope/ Erosion / Drainage and Storm Water Runoff	1	The City hired an architecture firm offered to provide survey, landscape design, civil engineering, floodplain permitting, Earthmoving and Erosion Control applications, and electrical permitting for the parking lot. The lot will be on the north side of the park, accessible from Crestview Drive, and provide 24 normal spaces and 2 ADA compliant spaces.	The City will utilize an underground stormwater detention system including an aqua swirl structure to detain all water on site and improve water quality. Key features include: * The underground chamber system will be sufficient to retain all stormwater from the new impervious structure and release water at a rate that meets all local, state, and federal standards. * The aqua swirl

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
			structure is a permanent flow-through water quality device designed to remove coarse sediment, debris and free-floating oil by utilizing hydrodynamic separation technology. It is recognized as a Best Management Practice for Stormwater quality improvement
Hazards and Nuisances including Site Safety and Site- Generated Noise	2	In consultation with the Parks Department, current conditions of parking means users of the park, rather than utilizing the southern parking lot, will utilize street parking or utilize spots within the elementary school parking lot, even during school hours. The addition of spaces to the northern side of the park will reduce interference with the operations of the elementary school during school hours. One compelling reasons for the project is it will be better neighbors with school and park users on that side of park wont need to use their lot. The noise level and use of the park will remain the same.	No mitigation required.
Hazards and Nuisances including Site Safety and Site- Generated Noise	2	In consultation with the Parks Department, current conditions of parking means users of the park, rather than utilizing the southern parking lot, will utilize street parking or utilize spots within the elementary school parking lot, even during school hours. The addition of spaces to the northern side of the park will reduce interference with the	No mitigation required.

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation operations of the elementary school during school hours. One compelling reasons for the project is it will be better	Mitigation
		neighbors with school and park users on that side of park wont need to use their lot. The noise level and use of the park will remain the same. SOCIOECONOMIC	
Employment and	2	The addition of the parking lot	No mitigation required.
Income Patterns		will not increase or decrease employment opportunities for temporary or permanent jobs.	
Employment and Income Patterns	2	The addition of the parking lot will not increase or decrease employment opportunities for temporary or permanent jobs.	No mitigation required.
Demographic Character Changes / Displacement	2	The proposed project will not contribute to reducing or significantly alter the racial, ethnic, or income segregation of the area's housing. This will also not affect the displacement of people, businesses, institutions, or community facilities.	No mitigation required.
Demographic Character Changes / Displacement	2	The proposed project will not contribute to reducing or significantly alter the racial, ethnic, or income segregation of the area's housing. This will also not affect the displacement of people, businesses, institutions, or community facilities.	No mitigation required.
Environmental Justice EA Factor	2	The project will not expose the community to disproportionate adverse environmental or human health conditions.	No mitigation required.

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Environmental Justice EA Factor	2	The project will not expose the community to disproportionate adverse environmental or human health conditions.	No mitigation required.
	СОМ	MUNITY FACILITIES AND SERV	ICES
Educational and Cultural Facilities (Access and Capacity)	1	The parking spaces will add more parking to the area that may be used by the elementary school. Rather than park users utilizing space at the school, for large events school users can use the additional parking at the neighboring park.	No mitigation required.
Educational and Cultural Facilities (Access and Capacity)	1	The parking spaces will add more parking to the area that may be used by the elementary school. Rather than park users utilizing space at the school, for large events school users can use the additional parking at the neighboring park.	No mitigation required.
Commercial Facilities (Access and Proximity)	2	The project will not impact commercial facilities as a part of the development.	No mitigation required.
Commercial Facilities (Access and Proximity)	2	The project will not impact commercial facilities as a part of the development.	No mitigation required.
Health Care / Social Services (Access and Capacity)	2	The project will not impact any health care or social services as result of the new construction.	No mitigation required.
Health Care / Social Services (Access and Capacity)	2	The project will not impact any health care or social services as result of the new construction.	No mitigation required.
Solid Waste Disposal and Recycling	2	The project will not increase the recycling or solid waste disposal needs of the community.	No mitigation required.

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
(Feasibility and Capacity) Solid Waste	2	The project will not increase	No mitigation required.
Disposal and Recycling (Feasibility and Capacity)		the recycling or solid waste disposal needs of the community.	
Waste Water and Sanitary Sewers (Feasibility and Capacity)	2	The project will not connect to sanitary sewers.	No mitigation required.
Waste Water and Sanitary Sewers (Feasibility and Capacity)	2	The project will not connect to sanitary sewers.	No mitigation required.
Water Supply (Feasibility and Capacity)	2	The project plan calls for permanent and final vegetation and structural erosion control devices installed within Seven (7) days after final grading or as soon as possible. The project will utilize native born plants to minimize the need for water use or watering of traditional grass.	No mitigation required.
Water Supply (Feasibility and Capacity)	2	The project plan calls for permanent and final vegetation and structural erosion control devices installed within Seven (7) days after final grading or as soon as possible. The project will utilize native born plants to minimize the need for water use or watering of traditional grass.	No mitigation required.
Public Safety - Police, Fire and Emergency Medical	1	The parking lot will provide first responders with greater access to the northern part of the park, increasing access to emergencies at the northern part of the park.	No mitigation required.

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Public Safety - Police, Fire and Emergency Medical	1	The parking lot will provide first responders with greater access to the northern part of the park, increasing access to emergencies at the northern part of the park.	No mitigation required.
Parks, Open Space and Recreation (Access and Capacity)	2	The parking lot will remove areas covered by grass for open recreation use. However, the parking lot will increase accessibility for persons with disabilities to the shelter and bathroom. According to the 2018-2022 ACS, 7.7% of the Greenwood population is living with a disability. A total of 13,935 people live within the service area of the Park. Applying the percentage of the entire city, 1,027 people may be living within the service area and living with a disability. These individuals would have greater access to the park amenities via closer parking.	No mitigation required.
Parks, Open Space and Recreation (Access and Capacity)	2	The parking lot will remove areas covered by grass for open recreation use. However, the parking lot will increase accessibility for persons with disabilities to the shelter and bathroom. According to the 2018-2022 ACS, 7.7% of the Greenwood population is living with a disability. A total of !3,935 people live within the service area of the Park. Applying the percentage of the entire city, 1,027 people may be living within the service area and living with a disability. These individuals	No mitigation required.

Environmental Assessment	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Factor		would have greater access to the park amenities via closer parking.	
Transportation and Accessibility (Access and Capacity)	1	The project will not impact transportation needs in the community. The parking lot will add a bike rack to the community for persons accessing the park but not using a car as their transportation.	No mitigation required.
Transportation and Accessibility (Access and Capacity)	1	The project will not impact transportation needs in the community. The parking lot will add a bike rack to the community for persons accessing the park but not using a car as their transportation.	No mitigation required.
		NATURAL FEATURES	
Unique Natural Features /Water Resources Unique Natural	1	The project does not have any geological features that are rare or of special/cultural, economic, educational, aesthetic, or scientific value. The addition of the underground chamber system will be sufficient to retain all stormwater from the new impervious structure and release water at a rate that meets all local, state, and federal standards. The project does not have any	No mitigation required.
Features /Water Resources	T	rare or of special/cultural, economic, educational, aesthetic, or scientific value. The addition of the underground chamber system will be sufficient to retain all stormwater from the new impervious structure and	no mugation required.

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
		release water at a rate that meets all local, state, and federal standards.	
Vegetation / Wildlife (Introduction, Modification, Removal, Disruption, etc.)	1	With the current standing water problem adding the underground chamber system will not impact the vegetation to the area. The project will not disrupt or remove any rare species.	No mitigation required.
Vegetation / Wildlife (Introduction, Modification, Removal, Disruption, etc.)	1	With the current standing water problem adding the underground chamber system will not impact the vegetation to the area. The project will not disrupt or remove any rare species.	No mitigation required.
Other Factors 1	1	There is no environmental justice impacts or mitigation needed.	No mitigation required.
Other Factors 1	1	There is no environmental justice impacts or mitigation needed.	No mitigation required.
Other Factors 2			
Other Factors 2			
		CLIMATE AND ENERGY	
Climate Change	2	The project will not impact climate change on the project's short- and long-term suitability and resilience.	No mitigation required.
Climate Change	2	The project will not impact climate change on the project's short- and long-term suitability and resilience.	No mitigation required.
Energy Efficiency	2	Adding the small parking lot and not utilizing the school's lot will encourage carpooling while at the park.	No mitigation required.
Energy Efficiency	2	Adding the small parking lot and not utilizing the school's lot will encourage carpooling while at the park.	No mitigation required.

Supporting documentation

plans 20241014 northeast park_100SD.pdf image001.png

Additional Studies Performed:

Field Inspection [Optional]: Date and completed by:

List of Sources, Agencies and Persons Consulted [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

Greenwood Police Department Greenwood Planning Department - Comprehensive Planning Northeast Elementary School - Outreach to the Principal Greenwood Parks and Recreation Department

List of Permits Obtained:

Land alteration permit from City and a general land disturbance permit from storm water that will allow city to make sure best storm water management practices are followed during construction

Public Outreach [24 CFR 58.43]:

The City placed a FONSI notice in the City paper on December 2nd. Copy of the notice and website posting have been added to this document.

EA FONSI and RROF public notice.docx

Cumulative Impact Analysis [24 CFR 58.32]:

The City of Greenwood Parks & Recreation Department utilized a qualified landscape architecture firm to provide design services for a new parking lot in Northeast Park. The winning organization to the RFP, Hitchock to provide survey, landscape, civil engineering, electrical permit, obtain land alteration, create O&M Manual Agreement, obtain Stormwater erosion and sediment control permits. The schematics of their design have been included as part of this environmental assessment. There are no direct or indirect effects of past, present, and future actions that will harm the environment or community

Alternatives [24 CFR 58.40(e); 40 CFR 1508.9]

-There will be trees and shrubs around the lot- they cannot be invasive and the parks department and landscape architect will work together on appropriate species selection based on the topography and location -The project will add a bike rack to the design to allow for alternative transportation uses to be added to the park.

No Action Alternative [24 CFR 58.40(e)]

With no action, street parking will continue to congest the area during events at Northeast Park. The installation of the parking lot, under City ordinances requires the storm water improvements. The storm water technical assistance manual and the City's Unified Development Ordinance are the primary drivers for the storm water improvements to the area. Without the improvement, neither the parking lot nor the storm water management would be employed.

Summary of Findings and Conclusions:

There are no direct or indirect effects of past, present, and future actions that will harm the environment or community.

Mitigation Measures and Conditions [CFR 1505.2(c)]:

Summarized below are all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

Law, Authority, or Factor	Mitigation Measure or Condition	Comments on Completed Measures	Mitigation Plan	Complete
Floodplain Management	The City will utilize an underground stormwater detention system including an aqua swirl structure to detain all water on site and improve water quality. Key features include: a.tThe underground chamber system will be sufficient to retain all stormwater from the new impervious structure and release water at a rate that meets all local, state, and federal standards. b.tThe aqua swirl structure is a permanent flow-through water	N/A	The portion of the parking lot, estimated to be .2% of the total surface that will go through the floodplain, will be a permeable surface, allowing rain and other water to	

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	quality device designed to remove coarse sediment, debris and free-floating oil by utilizing hydrodynamic separation technology. It is recognized as a Best Management Practice for Stormwater quality improvement		pass through to the ground.
Conformance with Plans / Compatible Land Use and Zoning / Scale and Urban Design	No mitigation required.	N/A	
Soil Suitability / Slope/ Erosion / Drainage and Storm Water Runoff	The City will utilize an underground stormwater detention system including an aqua swirl structure to detain all water on site and improve water quality. Key features include: * The underground chamber system will be sufficient to retain all stormwater from the new impervious structure and release water at a rate that meets all local, state, and federal standards. * The aqua swirl structure is a permanent flow-through water quality device designed to remove coarse sediment, debris and free-floating oil by utilizing hydrodynamic separation technology. It is recognized as a Best Management Practice for Stormwater quality improvement	N/A	The underground chamber system will be sufficient to retain all stormwater from the new impervious structure and release water at a rate that meets all local, state, and federal standards.
Hazards and Nuisances including Site Safety and Site-	No mitigation required.	N/A	

Concreted			
Generated			
Noise	N		
Employment	No mitigation required.	N/A	
and Income			
Patterns			
Demographic	No mitigation required.	N/A	
Character			
Changes /			
Displacement			
Environmental	No mitigation required.	N/A	
Justice EA			
Factor			
Educational	No mitigation required.	N/A	
and Cultural			
Facilities			
(Access and			
Capacity)			
Commercial	No mitigation required.	N/A	
Facilities	No magadon required.		
(Access and			
Proximity)			
	No mitigation required	N1/A	
Health Care /	No mitigation required.	N/A	
Social Services			
(Access and			
Capacity)			
Solid Waste	No mitigation required.	N/A	
Disposal and			
Recycling			
(Feasibility			
and Capacity)			
Waste Water	No mitigation required.	N/A	
and Sanitary			
Sewers			
(Feasibility			
and Capacity)			
Water Supply	No mitigation required.	N/A	
(Feasibility			
and Capacity)			
Public Safety -	No mitigation required.	N/A	
Police, Fire	5 - 1		
and			
Emergency			
Medical			
Parks, Open	No mitigation required.	N/A	
Space and			
Recreation			
Necreation			

(Access and			
Capacity)			
Transportation	No mitigation required.	N/A	
and			
Accessibility			
(Access and			
Capacity)			
Unique	No mitigation required.	N/A	
Natural			
Features			
/Water			
Resources			
Vegetation /	No mitigation required.	N/A	
Wildlife			
(Introduction,			
Modification,			
Removal,			
Disruption,			
etc.)			
Other Factors	No mitigation required.	N/A	
1			
Climate	No mitigation required.	N/A	
Change			
Energy	No mitigation required.	N/A	
Efficiency			

Project Mitigation Plan

Full design for the mitigation for storm water and flood plain management has been uploaded to this document.

NE Parkinglot Schematics.pdf

Supporting documentation on completed measures

APPENDIX A: Related Federal Laws and Authorities

Airport Hazards

General policy	Legislation	Regulation
It is HUD's policy to apply standards to		24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D
prevent incompatible development		
around civil airports and military airfields.		

1. To ensure compatible land use development, you must determine your site's proximity to civil and military airports. Is your project within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport?

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload the map showing that the site is not within the applicable distances to a military or civilian airport below

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements.

Supporting documentation

Crestview Airport.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Coastal Barrier Resources

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD financial assistance may not be	Coastal Barrier Resources Act	
used for most activities in units of the	(CBRA) of 1982, as amended by	
Coastal Barrier Resources System	the Coastal Barrier Improvement	
(CBRS). See 16 USC 3504 for limitations	Act of 1990 (16 USC 3501)	
on federal expenditures affecting the		
CBRS.		

This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRA units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.

Compliance Determination

This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRS units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.

Supporting documentation

Crestview Coastal Barrier.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Flood Insurance

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Certain types of federal financial assistance may not be	Flood Disaster	24 CFR 50.4(b)(1)
used in floodplains unless the community participates	Protection Act of 1973	and 24 CFR 58.6(a)
in National Flood Insurance Program and flood	as amended (42 USC	and (b); 24 CFR
insurance is both obtained and maintained.	4001-4128)	55.1(b).

1. Does this project involve <u>financial assistance for construction, rehabilitation, or</u> <u>acquisition of a mobile home, building, or insurable personal property</u>?

 ✓ No. This project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

4. While flood insurance is not mandatory for this project, HUD strongly recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). Will flood insurance be required as a mitigation measure or condition?

Yes No

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with Flood Insurance requirements.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Air Quality

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Clean Air Act is administered	Clean Air Act (42 USC 7401 et	40 CFR Parts 6, 51
by the U.S. Environmental	seq.) as amended particularly	and 93
Protection Agency (EPA), which	Section 176(c) and (d) (42 USC	
sets national standards on	7506(c) and (d))	
ambient pollutants. In addition,		
the Clean Air Act is administered		
by States, which must develop		
State Implementation Plans (SIPs)		
to regulate their state air quality.		
Projects funded by HUD must		
demonstrate that they conform		
to the appropriate SIP.		

1. Does your project include new construction or conversion of land use facilitating the development of public, commercial, or industrial facilities OR five or more dwelling units?

Yes

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

- Yes
- ✓ No

Coastal Zone Management Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Federal assistance to applicant	Coastal Zone Management	15 CFR Part 930
agencies for activities affecting	Act (16 USC 1451-1464),	
any coastal use or resource is	particularly section 307(c)	
granted only when such	and (d) (16 USC 1456(c) and	
activities are consistent with	(d))	
federally approved State		
Coastal Zone Management Act		
Plans.		

1. Is the project located in, or does it affect, a Coastal Zone as defined in your state Coastal Management Plan?

Yes

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project is not located in or does not affect a Coastal Zone as defined in the state Coastal Management Plan. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act.

Supporting documentation

Crestview - Coastal Zone Management.png

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Contamination and Toxic Substances

General Requirements	Legislation	Regulations
It is HUD policy that all properties that are being		24 CFR
proposed for use in HUD programs be free of		58.5(i)(2)
hazardous materials, contamination, toxic		24 CFR 50.3(i)
chemicals and gases, and radioactive substances,		
where a hazard could affect the health and safety of		
the occupants or conflict with the intended		
utilization of the property.		
Reference		
https://www.onecpd.info/environmental-review/site-contamination		

1. How was site contamination evaluated?* Select all that apply.

ASTM Phase I ESA

ASTM Phase II ESA

Remediation or clean-up plan

ASTM Vapor Encroachment Screening.

✓ None of the above

* HUD regulations at 24 CFR § 58.5(i)(2)(ii) require that the environmental review for multifamily housing with five or more dwelling units or non-residential property include the evaluation of previous uses of the site or other evidence of contamination on or near the site. For acquisition and new construction of multifamily and nonresidential properties HUD strongly advises the review include an ASTM Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) to meet real estate transaction standards of due diligence and to help ensure compliance with HUD's toxic policy at 24 CFR §58.5(i) and 24 CFR §50.3(i). Also note that some HUD programs require an ASTM Phase I ESA.

✓ Yes

Explain:

The project is a parking lot and will not include any enclosed areas having ground contact.

No

* Notes:

• Buildings with no enclosed areas having ground contact.

• Buildings containing crawlspaces, utility tunnels, or parking garages would not be exempt, however buildings built on piers would be exempt, provided that there is open air between the lowest floor of the building and the ground.

• Buildings that are not residential and will not be occupied for more than 4 hours per day.

• Buildings with existing radon mitigation systems - document radon levels are below 4 pCi/L with test results dated within two years of submitting the application for HUD assistance and document the system includes an ongoing maintenance plan that includes periodic testing to ensure the system continues to meet the current EPA recommended levels. If the project does not require an application, document test results dated within two years of the date the environmental review is certified. Refer to program office guidance to ensure compliance with program requirements.

• Buildings tested within five years of the submission of application for HUD assistance: test results document indoor radon levels are below current the EPA's recommended action levels of 4.0 pCi/L. For buildings with test data older than five years, any new environmental review must include a consideration of radon using one of the methods in Section A below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Site contamination was evaluated as follows: None of the above. On-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property were not found. The project is exempt from radon consideration. The project is in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements.

Supporting documentation

Crestview - EnviroMapper.png

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

No

Endangered Species

General requirements	ESA Legislation	Regulations
Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA)	The Endangered	50 CFR Part
mandates that federal agencies ensure that	Species Act of 1973	402
actions that they authorize, fund, or carry out	(16 U.S.C. 1531 et	
shall not jeopardize the continued existence of	seq.); particularly	
federally listed plants and animals or result in	section 7 (16 USC	
the adverse modification or destruction of	1536).	
designated critical habitat. Where their actions		
may affect resources protected by the ESA,		
agencies must consult with the Fish and Wildlife		
Service and/or the National Marine Fisheries		
Service ("FWS" and "NMFS" or "the Services").		

1. Does the project involve any activities that have the potential to affect specifies or habitats?

No, the project will have No Effect due to the nature of the activities involved in the project.

 No, the project will have No Effect based on a letter of understanding, memorandum of agreement, programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office

> Explain your determination: See attached IPaC Resource List provided by the US Fish and Wildlife Service.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Yes, the activities involved in the project have the potential to affect species and/or habitats.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project will have No Effect on listed species based on a letter of understanding, memorandum of agreement, programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office. This project is in compliance with the Endangered Species Act.

Supporting documentation

Crestview - IPaC Explore Location resources.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Explosive and Flammable Hazards

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD-assisted projects must meet	N/A	24 CFR Part 51
Acceptable Separation Distance (ASD)		Subpart C
requirements to protect them from		
explosive and flammable hazards.		

1. Is the proposed HUD-assisted project itself the development of a hazardous facility (a facility that mainly stores, handles or processes flammable or combustible chemicals such as bulk fuel storage facilities and refineries)?

✓ No

Yes

2. Does this project include any of the following activities: development, construction, rehabilitation that will increase residential densities, or conversion?

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Farmlands Protection

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Farmland Protection	Farmland Protection Policy	7 CFR Part 658
Policy Act (FPPA) discourages	Act of 1981 (7 U.S.C. 4201	
federal activities that would	et seq.)	
convert farmland to		
nonagricultural purposes.		

1. Does your project include any activities, including new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, that could convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use?

Yes

✓ No

If your project includes new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, explain how you determined that agricultural land would not be converted:

The project is located within a City owned Park. Will not convert farmland.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project does not include any activities that could potentially convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use. The project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Floodplain Management

General Requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11988,	Executive Order 11988	24 CFR 55
Floodplain Management,	* Executive Order 13690	
requires Federal activities to	* 42 USC 4001-4128	
avoid impacts to floodplains	* 42 USC 5154a	
and to avoid direct and	* only applies to screen 2047	
indirect support of floodplain	and not 2046	
development to the extent		
practicable.		

1. Does this project meet an exemption at 24 CFR 55.12 from compliance with HUD's floodplain management regulations in Part 55?

Yes

(a) HUD-assisted activities described in 24 CFR 58.34 and 58.35(b).

(b) HUD-assisted activities described in 24 CFR 50.19, except as otherwise indicated in § 50.19.

(c) The approval of financial assistance for restoring and preserving the natural and beneficial functions and values of floodplains and wetlands, including through acquisition of such floodplain and wetland property, where a permanent covenant or comparable restriction is place on the property's continued use for flood control, wetland projection, open space, or park land, but only if:

(1) The property is cleared of all existing buildings and walled structures; and

(2) The property is cleared of related improvements except those which:

(i) Are directly related to flood control, wetland protection, open space, or park land (including playgrounds and recreation areas);

(ii) Do not modify existing wetland areas or involve fill, paving, or other ground disturbance beyond minimal trails or paths; and

(iii) Are designed to be compatible with the beneficial floodplain or wetland function of the property.

(d) An action involving a repossession, receivership, foreclosure, or similar acquisition of property to protect or enforce HUD's financial interests under previously approved loans, grants, mortgage insurance,

or other HUD assistance.

(e) Policy-level actions described at 24 CFR 50.16 that do not involve site-based decisions.

(f) A minor amendment to a previously approved action with no additional adverse impact on or from a floodplain or wetland.

(g) HUD's or the responsible entity's approval of a project site, an incidental portion of which is situated in the FFRMS floodplain (not including the floodway, LiMWA, or coastal high hazard area) but only if: (1) The proposed project site does not include any existing or proposed buildings or improvements that modify or occupy the FFRMS floodplain except de minimis improvements such as recreation areas and trails; and (2) the proposed project will not result in any new construction in or modifications of a wetland.

(h) Issuance or use of Housing Vouchers, or other forms of rental subsidy where HUD, the awarding community, or the public housing agency that administers the contract awards rental subsidies that are not project-based (i.e., do not involve site-specific subsidies).

(i) Special projects directed to the removal of material and architectural barriers that restrict the mobility of and accessibility to elderly and persons with disabilities.

Describe:

✓ No

2. Does the project include a Critical Action? Examples of Critical Actions include projects involving hospitals, fire and police stations, nursing homes, hazardous chemical storage, storage of valuable records, and utility plants.

Yes

Describe:

✓ No

3. Determine the extent of the FFRMS floodplain and provide mapping documentation in support of that determination

11/26/2024 12:49

Page 34 of 52

The extent of the FFRMS floodplain can be determined using a Climate Informed Science Approach (CISA), 0.2 percent flood approach (0.2 PFA), or freeboard value approach (FVA). For projects in areas without available CISA data or without FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs), Flood Insurance Studies (FISs) or Advisory Base Flood Elevations (ABFEs), use the best available information¹ to determine flood elevation. Include documentation and an explanation of why this is the best available information² for the site. Note that newly constructed and substantially improved³ structures must be elevated to the FFRMS floodplain regardless of the approach chosen to determine the floodplain.

Select one of the following three options:

CISA for non-critical actions. If using a local tool , data, or resources, ensure that the FFRMS elevation is higher than would have been determined using the 0.2 PFA or the FVA.

✓ 0.2-PFA. Where FEMA has defined the 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplain, the FFRMS floodplain is the area that FEMA has designated as within the 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplain.

FVA. If neither CISA nor 0.2-PFA is available, for non-critical actions, the FFRMS floodplain is the area that results from adding two feet to the base flood elevation as established by the effective FIRM or FIS or — if available — a FEMA-provided preliminary or pending FIRM or FIS or advisory base flood elevations, whether regulatory or informational in nature. However, an interim or preliminary FEMA map cannot be used if it is lower than the current FIRM or FIS.

¹ Sources which merit investigation include the files and studies of other federal agencies, such as the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, the Tennessee Valley Authority, the Soil Conservation Service and the U. S. Geological Survey. These agencies have prepared flood hazard studies for several thousand localities and, through their technical assistance programs, hydrologic studies, soil surveys, and other investigations have collected or developed other floodplain information for numerous sites and areas. States and communities are also sources of information on past flood 'experiences within their boundaries and are particularly knowledgeable about areas subject to high-risk flood hazards such as alluvial fans, high velocity flows, mudflows and mudslides, ice jams, subsidence and liquefaction.

² If you are using best available information, select the FVA option below and provide supporting documentation in the screen summary. Contact your <u>local environmental officer</u> with additional compliance questions.

³ Substantial improvement means any repair or improvement of a structure which costs at least 50 percent of the market value of the structure before repair or improvement or results in an increase of more than 20 percent of the number of dwelling units. The full definition can be found at 24 CFR 55.2(b)(12).

5. Does your project occur in the FFRMS floodplain?

✓ Yes

No

6. Is your project located in any of the floodplain categories below?

Select all that apply:

Floodway.

Do the floodway exemptions at 55.8 or 55.21 apply?

Yes

No

Coastal High Hazard Area (V Zone) or Limit of Moderate Wave Action (LiMWA).

Yes

No

✓ None of the above.

7. Does the 8-Step Process apply? Select one of the following options:

8-Step Process is inapplicable per 55.13.

(a) HUD's mortgage insurance actions and other financial assistance for the purchasing, mortgaging, or refinancing of existing one- to fourfamily properties in communities that are in the Regular Program of the NFIP and in good standing (i.e., not suspended from program eligibility or placed on probation under 44 CFR 59.24), where the action is not a critical action and the property is not located in a floodway, coastal high hazard area, or LiMWA;

(b) Financial assistance for minor repairs or improvements on one- to four-family properties that do not meet the thresholds for "substantial improvement" under § 55.2(b)(12);

(c) HUD or a recipient's actions involving the disposition of individual HUD or recipient held, one- to four-family properties;

(d) HUD guarantees under the Loan Guarantee Recovery Fund Program (24 CFR part 573), where any new construction or rehabilitation financed by the existing loan or mortgage has been completed prior to the filing of an application under the program, and the refinancing will not allow further construction or rehabilitation, nor result in any physical impacts or changes except for routine maintenance;

(e) The approval of financial assistance to lease units within an existing structure located within the floodplain, but only if;
(1) The structure is located outside the floodway or coastal high hazard area, and is in a community that is in the Regular Program of the NFIP and in good standing (i.e., not suspended from program eligibility or placed on probation under 44 CFR 59.24); and
(2) The project is not a critical action; and.

(3) The entire structure is or will be fully insured or insured to the maximum extent available under the NFIP for at least the term of the lease.

(f) Special projects for the purpose of improving efficiency of utilities or installing renewable energy that involve the repair, rehabilitation, modernization, weatherization, or improvement of existing structures or infrastructure, do not meet the thresholds for "substantial improvement" under § 55.2(b)(12), and do not include the installation of equipment below the FFRMS floodplain elevation;

5-Step Process is applicable per 55.14.

(a) HUD actions involving the disposition of HUD-acquired multifamily housing projects or "bulk sales" of HUD-acquired one- to four-family properties in communities that are in the Regular Program of the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) and in good standing (i.e., not suspended from program eligibility or placed on probation under 44 CFR 59.24).

(b) HUD's actions under the National Housing Act (12 U.S.C. 1701) for the purchase or refinancing of existing multifamily housing projects, hospitals, nursing homes, assisted living facilities, board and care facilities, and intermediate care facilities, in communities that are in good standing under the NFIP. (c) HUD's or the recipient's actions under any HUD program involving the repair, rehabilitation, modernization, weatherization, or improvement of existing multifamily housing projects, hospitals, nursing homes, assisted living facilities, board and care facilities, intermediate care facilities, and one- to four-family properties, in communities that are in the Regular Program of the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) and are in good standing, provided that the number of units is not increased more than 20 percent, the action does not involve a conversion from nonresidential to residential land use, the action does not meet the thresholds for "substantial improvement" under § 55.2(b)(10), and the footprint of the structure and paved areas is not increased by more than 20 percent.

(d) HUD's (or the recipient's) actions under any HUD program involving the repair, rehabilitation, modernization, weatherization, or improvement of existing nonresidential buildings and structures, in communities that are in the Regular Program of the NFIP and are in good standing, provided that the action does not meet the thresholds for "substantial improvement" under § 55.2(b)(10) and that the footprint of the structure and paved areas is not increased by more than 20 percent.

(e) HUD's or the recipient's actions under any HUD program involving the repair, rehabilitation, or replacement of existing nonstructural improvements including streets, curbs and gutters, where any increase of the total impervious surface area of the facility is de minimis. This provision does not include critical actions, levee systems, chemical storage facilities (including any tanks), wastewater facilities, or sewer lagoons.

✓ 8-Step Process applies.

8. Mitigation

For the project to comply with this section, all adverse impacts must be mitigated. Explain in detail the measures that must be implemented to mitigate the impact or effect, including the timeline for implementation. Note: newly constructed and substantially improved structures within the FFRMS floodplain must be elevated to the FFRMS floodplain elevation or floodproofed, if applicable.

Explain:

The City will utilize an underground stormwater detention system including an aqua

11/26/2024 12:49

swirl structure to detain all water on site and improve water quality. Key features include: a. The underground chamber system will be sufficient to retain all stormwater from the new impervious structure and release water at a rate that meets all local, state, and federal standards. b. The aqua swirl structure is a permanent flow-through water quality device designed to remove coarse sediment, debris and free-floating oil by utilizing hydrodynamic separation technology. It is recognized as a Best Management Practice for Stormwater quality improvement

Which of the following if any mitigation/minimization measures have been identified for this project in the 8-Step or 5-Step Process?

Buyout and demolition or other supported clearance of floodplain structures.

Insurance purchased in excess of statutory requirement th eunder the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973.

Permeable surfaces.

- Natural landscape enhancements that maintain or restore natural hydrology.
- ✓ Planting or restoring native plant species.

Bioswales.

✓ Stormwater capture and reuse.

Green or vegetative roofs with drainage provisions.

Natural Resources Conservation Service conservation easements or similar easements.

Floodproofing of structures as allowable (e.g. non-residential floors).

Elevating structures (including freeboard above the required base flood elevations).

Levee or structural protection from flooding.

Channelizing or redefining the floodway or floodplain through a Letter of Map Revision (LOMR).

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project is located in the FFRMS floodplain. The 8-Step or 5-Step Process is required. With the 8-Step or 5-Step Process the project will be in compliance with Executive Orders 11988 and 13690.

Supporting documentation

Website Posting.pdf 8 step plan.pdf Greenwood(2).pdf Greenwood Aff.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

No

Historic Preservation

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Regulations under	Section 106 of the	36 CFR 800 "Protection of Historic
Section 106 of the	National Historic	Properties"
National Historic	Preservation Act	https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CF
Preservation Act	(16 U.S.C. 470f)	R-2012-title36-vol3/pdf/CFR-2012-title36-
(NHPA) require a		vol3-part800.pdf
consultative process		
to identify historic		
properties, assess		
project impacts on		
them, and avoid,		
minimize, or mitigate		
adverse effects		

Threshold Is Section 106 review required for your project?

No, because the project consists solely of activities listed as exempt in a Programmatic Agreement (PA). (See the PA Database to find applicable PAs.) No, because the project consists solely of activities included in a No Potential to Cause Effects memo or other determination [36 CFR 800.3(a)(1)].

 ✓ Yes, because the project includes activities with potential to cause effects (direct or indirect).

Step 1 – Initiate Consultation

Select all consulting parties below (check all that apply):

- ✓ State Historic Preservation Offer (SHPO) Completed
- ✓ Advisory Council on Historic Preservation Not Required
- ✓ Indian Tribes, including Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPOs) or Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs)
- ✓ Other Consulting Parties

 $\checkmark\,$ Cornerstone Design

Completed

Describe the process of selecting consulting parties and initiating consultation here:

The City utilized a third-party architect with expertise in the area of historic preservation. Also completed an archeological study for the area to be dug as part of the parking lot installation.

Document and upload all correspondence, notices and notes (including comments and objections received below).

Was the Section 106 Lender Delegation Memo used for Section 106 consultation?

Yes No

Step 2 – Identify and Evaluate Historic Properties

 Define the Area of Potential Effect (APE), either by entering the address(es) or uploading a map depicting the APE below: The City of Greenwood is funding a Parking Lot project in Northeast Park,

located at 100 Crestview Drive, with CDBG funds.

In the chart below, list historic properties identified and evaluated in the APE. Every historic property that may be affected by the project should be included in the chart.

Upload the documentation (survey forms, Register nominations, concurrence(s) and/or objection(s), notes, and photos) that justify your National Register Status determination below.

Address / Location	National Register	SHPO Concurrence	Sensitive
/ District	Status		Information
100 Crestview Drive	Not Eligible	Yes	✓ Not Sensitive

Additional Notes:

2. Was a survey of historic buildings and/or archeological sites done as part of the

project?

✓ Yes

Document and upload surveys and report(s) below. For Archeological surveys, refer to HP Fact Sheet #6, Guidance on Archeological Investigations in HUD Projects.

Additional Notes:

No

Step 3 – Assess Effects of the Project on Historic Properties

Only properties that are listed on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places receive further consideration under Section 106. Assess the effect(s) of the project by applying the Criteria of Adverse Effect. (<u>36 CFR 800.5</u>)] Consider direct and indirect effects as applicable as per guidance on <u>direct and indirect effects</u>.

Choose one of the findings below - No Historic Properties Affected, No Adverse Effect, or Adverse Effect; and seek concurrence from consulting parties.

No Historic Properties Affected

✓ No Adverse Effect

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. **Document reason for finding:**

The proposed work for the paved parking will be conducted in an existing park at the location per the proposed site plan. The residential properties that boarder the north edges of the park appear to have been constructed 1960 - 1970. These residences could be over 50 years old with the potential individual historic properties or possible historic district. Since the proposed new paved parking will be located within the existing park and will not change or impact of the back yards of the properties on Sayer Dr,

Does the No Adverse Effect finding contain conditions?

Yes (check all that apply)

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload concurrence(s) or objection(s) below.

Adverse Effect

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on Section 106 consultation the project will have No Adverse Effect on historic properties. Conditions: None. Upon satisfactory implementation of the conditions, which should be monitored, the project is in compliance with Section 106.

Supporting documentation

DNR Response Letter.pdf

2327 106 review Northeast park parking lot.doc Phase Ia ASR CDBG Funded Parking Lot Northeast Park City of Greenwood Johnson Co IN.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Noise Abatement and Control

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD's noise regulations protect	Noise Control Act of 1972	Title 24 CFR 51
residential properties from		Subpart B
excessive noise exposure. HUD	General Services Administration	
encourages mitigation as	Federal Management Circular	
appropriate.	75-2: "Compatible Land Uses at	
	Federal Airfields"	

1. What activities does your project involve? Check all that apply:

New construction for residential use

Rehabilitation of an existing residential property

A research demonstration project which does not result in new construction or reconstruction

An interstate land sales registration

Any timely emergency assistance under disaster assistance provision or appropriations which are provided to save lives, protect property, protect public health and safety, remove debris and wreckage, or assistance that has the effect of restoring facilities substantially as they existed prior to the disaster

✓ None of the above

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under HUD's noise regulation. The project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulation.

Supporting documentation

Crestview Noise.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Sole Source Aquifers

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974	Safe Drinking Water	40 CFR Part 149
protects drinking water systems	Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C.	
which are the sole or principal	201, 300f et seq., and	
drinking water source for an area	21 U.S.C. 349)	
and which, if contaminated, would		
create a significant hazard to public		
health.		

1. Does the project consist solely of acquisition, leasing, or rehabilitation of an existing building(s)?

Yes No

2. Is the project located on a sole source aquifer (SSA)?

A sole source aquifer is defined as an aquifer that supplies at least 50 percent of the drinking water consumed in the area overlying the aquifer. This includes streamflow source areas, which are upstream areas of losing streams that flow into the recharge area.

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload documentation used to make your determination, such as a map of your project (or jurisdiction, if appropriate) in relation to the nearest SSA and its source area, below.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project is not located on a sole source aquifer area. The project is in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements.

Supporting documentation

<u>Crestview Wetlands.pdf</u> <u>Crestview Sole Source.pdf</u>

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Wetlands Protection

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11990 discourages direct or	Executive Order	24 CFR 55.20 can be
indirect support of new construction impacting	11990	used for general
wetlands wherever there is a practicable		guidance regarding
alternative. The Fish and Wildlife Service's		the 8 Step Process.
National Wetlands Inventory can be used as a		
primary screening tool, but observed or known		
wetlands not indicated on NWI maps must also		
be processed Off-site impacts that result in		
draining, impounding, or destroying wetlands		
must also be processed.		

1. Does this project involve new construction as defined in Executive Order 11990, expansion of a building's footprint, or ground disturbance? The term "new construction" shall include draining, dredging, channelizing, filling, diking, impounding, and related activities and any structures or facilities begun or authorized after the effective date of the Order

No

✓ Yes

2. Will the new construction or other ground disturbance impact an on- or off-site wetland? The term "wetlands" means those areas that are inundated by surface or ground water with a frequency sufficient to support, and under normal circumstances does or would support, a prevalence of vegetative or aquatic life that requires saturated or seasonally saturated soil conditions for growth and reproduction. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas such as sloughs, potholes, wet meadows, river overflows, mud flats, and natural ponds.

"Wetlands under E.O. 11990 include isolated and non-jurisdictional wetlands."

✓ No, a wetland will not be impacted in terms of E.O. 11990's definition of new construction.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload a map or any other relevant documentation below which explains your determination

Yes, there is a wetland that be impacted in terms of E.O. 11990's definition of new construction.

<u>Screen Summary</u> Compliance Determination The project will not impact on- or off-site wetlands. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Wild and Scenic Rivers Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	The Wild and Scenic Rivers	36 CFR Part 297
provides federal protection for	Act (16 U.S.C. 1271-1287),	
certain free-flowing, wild, scenic	particularly section 7(b) and	
and recreational rivers	(c) (16 U.S.C. 1278(b) and (c))	
designated as components or		
potential components of the		
National Wild and Scenic Rivers		
System (NWSRS) from the effects		
of construction or development.		

1. Is your project within proximity of a NWSRS river?

✓ No

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Designated Wild and Scenic River or Study Wild and Scenic River.

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI) River.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project is not within proximity of a NWSRS river. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act.

Supporting documentation

Crestview Wild Scenic.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Environmental Justice

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Determine if the project	Executive Order 12898	
creates adverse environmental		
impacts upon a low-income or		
minority community. If it		
does, engage the community		
in meaningful participation		
about mitigating the impacts		
or move the project.		

HUD strongly encourages starting the Environmental Justice analysis only after all other laws and authorities, including Environmental Assessment factors if necessary, have been completed.

1. Were any adverse environmental impacts identified in any other compliance review portion of this project's total environmental review?

Yes

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

No adverse environmental impacts were identified in the project's total environmental review. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898.

Supporting documentation

Crestview Justice.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes